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The American Thoracic Society–European Respiratory Society classification of idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs), published in 2002, defines the morphologic patterns on which clinical-radiologic-pathologic diagnosis of IIPs is based. IIPs include seven entities: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, which is characterized by the morphologic pattern of usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP); nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP); cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP); respiratory bronchiolitis–associated interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD); desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP); lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (LIP); and acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP). The characteristic computed tomographic findings in UIP are predominantly basal and peripheral reticular opacities with honeycombing and traction bronchiectasis. In NSIP, basal ground-glass opacities tend to predominate over reticular opacities, with traction bronchiectasis only in advanced disease. COP is characterized by patchy peripheral or peribronchovascular consolidation. RB-ILD and DIP are smoking-related diseases characterized by centrilobular nodules and ground-glass opacities. LIP is characterized by ground-glass opacities, often in combination with cystic lesions. AIP manifests as diffuse lung consolidation with ground-glass opacities, which usually progress to fibrosis in patients who survive the acute phase of the disease. Correct diagnosis of IIPs can be achieved only by means of interdisciplinary consensus and stringent correlation of clinical, imaging, and pathologic findings.

Abbreviations: AIP = acute interstitial pneumonia, ATS = American Thoracic Society, COP = cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, DIP = desquamative interstitial pneumonia, ERS = European Respiratory Society, IIP = idiopathic interstitial pneumonia, IPF = idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, LIP = lymphoid interstitial pneumonia, NSIP = nonspecific interstitial pneumonia, RB-ILD = respiratory bronchiolitis–associated interstitial lung disease, UIP = usual interstitial pneumonia
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The diagnostic approach to idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs) has long been confusing because these disorders were categorized according to different clinical, radiologic, and histologic classifications (1,2). In 2001, the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) standardized the terminology for IIPs (Fig 1) (3). This new ATS-ERS classification is the result of a multidisciplinary consensus and includes seven disease entities: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP), respiratory bronchiolitis–associated interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD), acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP), desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP), and lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (LIP).

**Table 1**

Clinical Features of Patients with IIPs according to the ATS-ERS Consensus Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of IIP</th>
<th>Mean Age at Onset (y)</th>
<th>Gender Distribution</th>
<th>Most Prominent Symptoms</th>
<th>Type of Onset</th>
<th>Association with Smoking</th>
<th>Prognosis</th>
<th>Response to Corticosteroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPF</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>More common in men</td>
<td>Dyspnea, cough</td>
<td>Gradual</td>
<td>Currently under discussion</td>
<td>Poor (median survival, 2.5–3.5 y)</td>
<td>Poor, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSIP</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>Dyspnea, cough, fatigue</td>
<td>Gradual or subacute</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Variable, better than in UIP</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>Cough, mild dyspnea, fever</td>
<td>Subacute</td>
<td>More common in nonsmokers</td>
<td>Complete recovery in most patients</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB-ILD</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>More common in men</td>
<td>Mild dyspnea, cough</td>
<td>Gradual</td>
<td>Required for diagnosis</td>
<td>Good after smoking cessation</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>More common in men</td>
<td>Dyspnea, cough</td>
<td>Insidious</td>
<td>In most cases</td>
<td>Generally good after smoking cessation</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP</td>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>More common in women</td>
<td>Cough, dyspnea</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Equal</td>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>High mortality rate (≥50%)</td>
<td>Not proved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source.—Reference 3.

**Figure 1.** Terminology for the IIPs with the various subentities according to the ATS-ERS classification. *UIP = usual interstitial pneumonia.*
disease (RB-ILD), desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP), lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (LIP), and acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP).

In their idiopathic form, IIPs are rare diseases. However, more frequent disorders such as sarcoidosis, vasculitis, and connective tissue diseases can display identical morphologic patterns, and the IIPs are considered “prototypes” for these morphologic alterations (3). Because imaging plays a crucial role in identifying both the idiopathic and the secondary interstitial pneumonias, radiologists need to be familiar with the morphologic and clinical manifestations and the diagnostic approach to these conditions.

The main clinical symptoms of IIPs are non-specific and consist of cough and dyspnea; however, other factors such as age, gender, risk factors, and course of disease can be helpful in distinguishing between the various entities (Table 1).

The classification of IIPs is based on histologic criteria, but each histologic pattern is associated with a characteristic computed tomography (CT) pattern that, provided an adequate CT technique is used, correlates well with histologic findings (Table 2) (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphologic Pattern</th>
<th>Histopathologic Features</th>
<th>Distribution at CT</th>
<th>High-Resolution CT Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UIP</td>
<td>Spatial and temporal heterogeneity, architectural distortion, fibroblastic foci</td>
<td>Apicobasal, subpleural</td>
<td>Macrocytic honeycombing, reticular opacities, traction bronchiectasis, architectural distortion, focal ground-glass opacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSIP</td>
<td>Spatial and temporal homogeneity; cellular pattern shows mild to moderate interstitial chronic inflammation; fibrosing pattern shows dense or loose interstitial fibrosis</td>
<td>No obvious gradient, subpleural, symmetric</td>
<td>Ground-glass opacities, irregular linear or reticular opacities, micronodules, consolidation, microcystic honeycombing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Patchy distribution of intraluminal organizing fibrosis in distal airspaces, preservation of lung architecture, uniform temporal appearance</td>
<td>Patchy, peripheral or peribronchial, basal predominance, sometimes sparing of subpleural space, migration tendency</td>
<td>Airspace consolidation, mild bronchial dilatation, ground-glass opacities, large nodules (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB-ILD</td>
<td>Bronchiocentric accumulation of alveolar macrophages containing brown particles, mild bronchiolar fibrosis</td>
<td>Diffuse or upper lung predominance</td>
<td>Centrilobular nodules, patchy ground-glass opacities, bronchial wall thickening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>Diffuse accumulation of macrophages in distal airspaces, mild interstitial fibrosis, mild chronic inflammation</td>
<td>Apicobasal gradient, peripheral predominance</td>
<td>Ground-glass opacities, irregular linear or reticular opacities, sometimes cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP</td>
<td>Diffuse infiltration of alveolar septa by lymphoid cells, lymphoid hyperplasia frequent</td>
<td>Basilar predominance or diffuse</td>
<td>Ground-glass opacities, perivasculary cysts, septal thickening, centrilobular nodules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIP</td>
<td>Diffuse alveolar damage; exudative phase shows hyaline membranes, diffuse alveolar infiltration by lymphocytes; organizing phase shows alveolar wall thickening due to fibrosis, pneumocyte hyperplasia</td>
<td>Lower lung predominance, symmetric, bilateral</td>
<td>Exudative phase shows ground-glass opacities, airspace consolidation; organizing phase shows bronchial dilatation, architectural distortion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The key role that radiologists play in the work-up of IIPs necessitates a thorough knowledge of the patterns as described in the international classification and an increased awareness of the multidisciplinary challenges involved in their interpretation.

In this article, we illustrate the morphologic characteristics of the patterns included in the ATS-ERS classification of IIPs and present an encyclopedic review of the clinical and radiologic hallmarks associated with these patterns.

**Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis**

IPF is the most common entity of the IIPs. By definition, IPF is the term for the clinical syndrome associated with the morphologic pattern of UIP (3). With a median survival time ranging from 2 to 4 years, IPF has a substantially poorer prognosis than NSIP, COP, RB-ILD, DIP, and LIP (3,4).

**Clinical Features**

The typical patient with IPF is 50 years old or older. Patients present with progressively worsening dyspnea and nonproductive cough (3). Many patients also report that the subtle onset of their symptoms months or even years earlier was mistaken for a less serious respiratory disease, which delayed referral to a specialized center (5). Although there are slightly more cases in men than in women, there is no obvious gender predilection (3). A history of cigarette smoking seems to be a risk factor for the development of IPF; however, it does not appear to affect the course of the disease (4,6,7). Usually, patients do not respond to high-dose corticosteroid therapy; data suggest that, due to the considerable side effects of corticosteroids, this therapy might even be contraindicated (8). However, a combination therapy of cyclosporin A and corticosteroids seems to be efficacious for acute exacerbations of IPF (9). In addition, patients should be considered candidates for lung transplantation early after diagnosis (10).

---

**Figure 2.** Histologic features of UIP. (a) Photomicrograph (original magnification, ×40; hematoxylin–eosin stain) shows patchy fibrosis with remodeling of the lung architecture. Interstitial chronic inflammation is mild, with only a few lymphoid aggregates (thin arrow). Cystically dilated airspaces that produce a honeycomb pattern (arrowhead) and areas of relatively unaffected lung (thick arrow) are present. (b) Photomicrograph (original magnification, ×200; hematoxylin–eosin stain) shows a fibroblastic focus of loose organizing connective tissue (arrowheads), which is the hallmark of UIP.
Histologic Features
The histologic hallmark of UIP is the presence of scattered fibroblastic foci (Fig 2). Typically, the lung involvement is heterogeneous and areas of normal lung alternate with interstitial inflammation and honeycombing (1). Owing to the patchy lung involvement, histologic evaluation of multiple biopsy specimens from one patient may reveal discordant histologic patterns. Evidence of the UIP pattern in one biopsy specimen is associated with a worse prognosis, independently of other coexisting patterns (11,12). Therefore, biopsy samples from more than one lobe should be obtained in any patient with suspected IIP, and high-resolution CT should serve as a guiding tool for determining the appropriate anatomic location of the biopsy site (12,13).

Imaging Features
The chest radiograph is normal in most patients with early disease. In advanced disease, the chest radiograph shows decreased lung volumes and subpleural reticular opacities that increase from the apex to the bases of the lungs (14).

This apicobasal gradient is even better seen on high-resolution CT images. Together with subpleural reticular opacities and macrocystic honeycombing combined with traction bronchiectasis, the apicobasal gradient represents a trio of signs that is highly suggestive of UIP (Fig 3) (15,16). Therefore, UIP should be considered in patients who present with low lung volumes, subpleural reticular opacities, macrocystic honeycombing, and traction bronchiectasis, the extent of which increases from the apex to the bases of the lungs (Fig 4). In the typical patient with UIP, the disease is most extensive on the most basal section of the high-resolution CT examination. Ground-glass opacities are present in the majority of patients with UIP but are usually limited in extent (17). Typically, imaging findings are heterogeneous, with areas of fibrosis alternating with areas of normal lung (Fig 5).
In patients who show the characteristic distribution and high-resolution CT pattern of UIP and the appropriate clinical features, the diagnosis can be reliably made without biopsy (18,19). The ATS-ERS has defined eight major and minor criteria for the diagnosis of IPF in the absence of a surgical lung biopsy, which are summarized in Table 3. However, histologic confirmation should be obtained in all patients with atypical imaging findings, such as extensive ground-glass opacities, nodules, consolidation, or a predominantly peribronchovascular distribution (3,20).

Nonspecific Interstitial Pneumonia
NSIP is less common than UIP but is still one of the most common histologic findings in patients with IIPs (21). NSIP is associated with a variety of imaging and histologic findings, and the diagnostic approach is highly challenging. However, the distinction of NSIP from UIP is more than academic, given the better response to corticosteroids seen in a subgroup of patients with NSIP (22,23).

Owing to the clinical, radiologic, and pathologic variability of NSIP, the term should be considered a provisional diagnosis until further characterization of this entity has been established (3).

Clinical Features
The typical patient with NSIP is between 40 and 50 years old and is usually about a decade younger than the patient with IPF. Symptoms of NSIP are similar to those of IPF but usually milder (24). Patients present with gradually worsening dyspnea over several months, and they often experience fatigue and weight loss. There is no gender predilection, and cigarette smoking is not an obvious risk factor in the development of NSIP. Treatment of patients with NSIP is based
on the use of systemic corticosteroids in combination with cytotoxic drugs, such as cyclophosphamide and cyclosporin, and the majority of patients stabilize or improve with this therapy (25).

Although it is primarily defined as an idiopathic disease, the morphologic pattern of NSIP is encountered in association with frequent disorders, such as connective tissue diseases, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, or drug exposure (26,27). Once the morphologic pattern of NSIP has been determined in a patient, these secondary forms of NSIP must be ruled out by the clinician.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major criteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of other known causes of interstitial lung disease (eg, toxic</td>
<td>effects of certain drugs, environmental exposures, and connective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tissue diseases)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal results of pulmonary function studies, including evidence of</td>
<td>restriction (reduced vital capacity, often with an increased FEV1/FVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction (reduced vital capacity, often with an increased FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>ratio) and impaired gas exchange (increased Pao2 − Paco2, decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ratio) and impaired gas exchange (increased Pao2 − Paco2, decreased</td>
<td>Paco2 with rest or exercise, or decreased DLCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pao2 with rest or exercise, or decreased DLCO)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibasilar reticular abnormalities with minimal ground-glass opacities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at high-resolution CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transbronchial lung biopsy or bronchoalveolar lavage shows no features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to support an alternative diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor criteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 50 y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insidious onset of otherwise unexplained dyspnea on exertion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness &gt; 3 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibasilar inspiratory crackles (dry or “Velcro” type)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source.—Reference 3.

Note.—Dlco = diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; Pao2 = partial pressure of oxygen, alveolar; Paco2 = partial pressure of oxygen, arterial.

### Histologic Features

The histologic pattern of NSIP is characterized by temporally and spatially homogeneous lung involvement (28). This homogeneity is a key feature in differentiating the NSIP pattern from the UIP pattern. On the basis of the varying proportions of inflammation and fibrosis, NSIP is divided into cellular and fibrosing subtypes (Fig 6) (1). In cellular NSIP, the thickening of alveolar septa is primarily caused by inflammatory cells; in fibrosing...
Figure 7. Distribution (a), CT image (b), and CT pattern (c) of NSIP. The distribution is subpleural with no obvious gradient (red area in a). CT shows ground-glass opacity (gray areas in c), irregular linear and reticular opacities (blue areas in c), micronodules (red areas in c), and microcystic honeycombing (green areas in c).

Figure 8. NSIP in a 60-year-old woman with mild dyspnea and fatigue. (a) High-resolution CT image of the lower lungs shows bilateral subpleural ground-glass opacities (arrowhead) and irregular linear opacities (arrow). The patient received corticosteroid treatment. (b) Follow-up CT image obtained 6 months later shows improvement, with partial resolution of the ground-glass opacities (arrowhead) and linear opacities (arrow).

Figure 9. NSIP in a 53-year-old man with mild dyspnea. (a) Coronal CT image shows diffuse lung involvement consisting of peripherally located irregular linear opacities with ground-glass opacities (arrows). Small cystic lesions are seen (arrowhead). (b) Axial high-resolution CT image shows the small cystic lesions more clearly (arrowhead).
NSIP, interstitial fibrosis is seen in addition to mild inflammation. Cellular NSIP is less common than fibrosing NSIP but shows a better response to corticosteroids and carries a substantially better prognosis (21). Histologic distinction between fibrotic NSIP and UIP is difficult and is subject to substantial interobserver variation (20). In borderline cases, CT correlation may help by showing features more typical of either UIP or NSIP.

**Imaging Features**

In patients with early NSIP, the chest radiograph is normal. In advanced disease, bilateral pulmonary infiltrates are the most salient abnormality. The lower lung lobes are more frequently involved, but an obvious apicobasal gradient, as seen in UIP, is usually missing (3).

High-resolution CT typically reveals a subpleural and rather symmetric distribution of lung abnormalities (Fig 7). The most common manifestation consists of patchy ground-glass opacities combined with irregular linear or reticular opacities and scattered micronodules (Fig 8) (29–31). In advanced disease, traction bronchiectasis and consolidation can be seen; however, ground-glass opacities remain the most obvious high-resolution CT feature in the typical patient with NSIP and are related to the histologic finding of homogeneous interstitial inflammation (29,30).

Other findings in advanced NSIP include subpleural cysts, but compared to those of UIP, these cysts are smaller and limited in extent (Fig 9) (29). The term “microcystic honeycombing” is used for these cystic changes in NSIP, as opposed to the macrocystic honeycombing seen in UIP (32,33). Although the CT features of cellular and fibrotic NSIP overlap considerably, it has been shown that honeycombing is seen almost exclusively in patients with fibrotic NSIP (17,29). Other CT findings that have been correlated with increased likelihood of fibrosis in NSIP are the extent of traction bronchiectasis and intralobular reticular opacities (29).

Owing to the substantial overlap of high-resolution CT patterns, the major CT differential diagnosis for NSIP is UIP. The key CT features that favor the diagnosis of NSIP over UIP are homogeneous lung involvement without an obvious apicobasal gradient, extensive ground-glass abnormalities, a finer reticular pattern, and micronodules (Figs 10, 11) (17,20,34). Follow-up
CT also demonstrates differences between patients with NSIP and those with UIP. In patients with NSIP, ground-glass opacities usually do not progress to areas of honeycombing, even if there is associated bronchiectasis (30). However, in patients with UIP, progression of ground-glass attenuation to honeycombing is common and indicates irreversible fibrosis (35).

Despite differences in distribution and CT pattern, the differential diagnosis between UIP and NSIP remains challenging, and surgical lung biopsy is required in all patients who do not present with the typical clinical and CT features of UIP.

**Cryptogenic Organizing Pneumonia**

COP is an IIP with characteristic clinical and radiologic features. The histologic pattern of COP is organizing pneumonia, formerly referred to as bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia (BOOP). The term BOOP has been omitted to avoid confusion with airway diseases such as constrictive bronchiolitis (3).

**Clinical Features**

The typical patient with COP has a mean age of 55 years. Women and men are equally affected and present with mild dyspnea, cough, and fever that have been developing over a few weeks (36).
Patients typically report a respiratory tract infection preceding their symptoms, and antibiotics were commonly prescribed at a previous consultation (37). There is no association with cigarette smoking; in fact, most patients are nonsmokers or ex-smokers (3). The majority of patients recover completely after administration of corticosteroids, but relapses occur frequently within 3 months after corticosteroid therapy is reduced or stopped (38). As with the other interstitial pneumonias, the pattern of organizing pneumonia may occur in a wide variety of entities, notably in collagen vascular diseases and in infectious and drug-induced lung diseases (26,27). Therefore, the final diagnosis of COP should be rendered only after exclusion of any other possible cause of organizing pneumonia.

**Histologic Features**

The histologic hallmark of organizing pneumonia is the presence of granulation tissue polyps in the alveolar ducts and alveoli (Fig 12) (39). These fibroblast proliferations result from organization of inflammatory intraalveolar exudates (36). Typically, there is patchy lung involvement with preservation of lung architecture. The granulation tissue is all the same age and contains few inflammatory cells.

**Imaging Features**

The chest radiograph in patients with COP usually shows unilateral or bilateral patchy consolidations that resemble pneumonic infiltrates (40). However, the consolidations in COP do not represent an active pneumonia but result from intraalveolar fibroblast proliferations, which may be associated with prior respiratory infection. Some patients present with nodular opacities on the chest radiograph. Lung volumes are preserved in most patients.

Frequently, the CT findings are far more extensive than expected from a review of the plain chest radiograph. The lung abnormalities show a characteristic peripheral or peribronchial distribution, and the lower lung lobes are more frequently involved (Figs 13, 14) (41). In some cases, the outermost subpleural area is spared (Fig 15) (42).
Typically, the appearance of the lung opacities varies from ground glass to consolidation; in the latter, air bronchograms and mild cylindrical bronchial dilatation are a common finding (41). These opacities have a tendency to migrate, changing location and size, even without treatment (42). They are of variable size, ranging from a few centimeters to an entire lobe.

In the appropriate clinical context, that is, consolidation that increases over several weeks despite antibiotics, the CT features of COP are often suggestive. However, apart from the typical imaging pattern of COP, other less specific imaging patterns can be encountered. These atypical imaging findings include irregular linear opacities, solitary focal lesions that resemble lung cancer, or multiple nodules that may cavitate (Fig 16) (43–45). In either case, the diagnosis should be confirmed with surgical lung biopsy. The role of transbronchial lung biopsy for diagnosis of COP is currently under evaluation (3).

**Respiratory Bronchiolitis–associated Interstitial Lung Disease**

RB-ILD is a smoking-related interstitial lung disease and is thought to represent an exaggerated and symptomatic form of the histologically common and incidental finding of respiratory bronchiolitis. Because of the significant overlap in clinical, imaging, and histologic features between RB-ILD and DIP, these entities are considered a pathomorphologic continuum, representing different degrees of severity of the same disease process (46,47).

**Clinical Features**

Patients with RB-ILD are usually 30–40 years old and have an average smoking history of 30 pack-years (47). Men are affected nearly twice as often as women and present with mild dyspnea and cough. Smoking cessation is the most important component in the therapeutic management of RB-ILD. However, the majority of patients also receive corticosteroid therapy (48).

**Histologic Features**

The histopathologic hallmark of RB-ILD is the intraluminal accumulation of pigmented macrophages centered around the respiratory bronchioles (Fig 17) (49). Mild peribronchiolar inflammation and fibrosis are usually present.
Findings in patients with RB-ILD cannot be differentiated histologically from those seen in asymptomatic patients with respiratory bronchiolitis.

**Imaging Features**

The chest radiograph is insensitive for detection of RB-ILD and is often normal. Sometimes, bronchial wall thickening or reticular opacities can be seen (3,50).

The distribution at high-resolution CT is mostly diffuse (Fig 18) (46). The key high-resolution CT features of RB-ILD are centrilobular nodules in combination with ground-glass opacities and bronchial wall thickening (Fig 19) (47).

The ground-glass opacities have been shown to correlate with macrophage accumulation in alveolar ducts and alveolar spaces (51). The centrilobular nodules are presumably caused by the peribronchial distribution of the intraluminal infiltrates (52). Coexisting moderate centrilobular emphysema is common, given that most patients have a smoking history.

**Desquamative Interstitial Pneumonia**

DIP is strongly associated with cigarette smoking and is considered to represent the end of a spectrum of RB-ILD. However, DIP also occurs in nonsmokers and has been related to a variety of conditions, including lung infections and exposure to organic dust (53,54).

**Clinical Features**

For the majority of patients with DIP, the onset of symptoms is between 30 and 40 years of age. Men are affected about twice as often as women, and most patients are current or past smokers (average smoking history of 18 pack-years) (47).

With smoking cessation and corticosteroid therapy, the prognosis is good. Nevertheless, progressive disease with eventual death can occur, notably in patients with continued cigarette smoking (48).

**Histologic Features**

The major histopathologic feature of DIP is the accumulation of pigmented macrophages and a few desquamated alveolar epithelial cells in the
alveoli (Fig 20). As opposed to the bronchiolo-centric distribution in RB-ILD, lung involvement in DIP is more diffuse and uniform (55). Usually, there is mild fibrosis in the interstitium. The more common DIP-like lung alterations seen in patients secondary to exposure to organic dust or in association with other IIPs, such as UIP, cannot be differentiated histologically from idiopathic DIP (56).

**Imaging Features**

Chest radiographs of DIP are nonspecific and may reveal hazy opacities (57).

At high-resolution CT, DIP is characterized by diffuse ground-glass opacities, which correlate histologically with the spatially homogeneous intraalveolar accumulation of macrophages and thickening of alveolar septa (Fig 21) (58). Usually, there is a peripheral and lower lung lobe predominance (Fig 22) (59). Other frequent CT findings include spatially limited irregular linear opacities and small cystic spaces, which are indicative of fibrotic changes (Fig 23) (3).

Despite differences in the CT appearance of RB-ILD and DIP, imaging findings may overlap and may be indistinguishable from each other. To improve diagnostic accuracy, lung biopsy is required in all cases of suspected RB-ILD or DIP (3).

**Lymphoid Interstitial Pneumonia**

As an idiopathic disease, LIP is exceedingly rare. It is far more common as a secondary disease in association with systemic disorders, most notably

---

**Figure 20.** Histologic features of DIP. Photomicrograph (original magnification, ×200; hematoxylin-eosin stain) shows diffuse filling of the alveolar spaces with alveolar macrophages and a few desquamated alveolar epithelial cells (arrow) (inset). Mild interstitial fibrosis is present (arrowhead).

**Figure 21.** Distribution (a), CT image (b), and CT pattern (c) of DIP. DIP has a peripheral predominance (red areas in a). CT shows ground-glass opacity (gray area in c), irregular linear opacities (blue areas in c), and cysts (green areas in c).
Sjögren syndrome, human immunodeficiency virus infection, and variable immunodeficiency syndromes (60).

**Clinical Features**
LIP is more common in women than in men, and patients are usually in their fifth decade of life at presentation. They present with slowly progressive dyspnea and cough over a period of 3 or more years (3). Occasionally, patients report systemic symptoms, such as fever, night sweats, and weight loss. In the past, LIP was considered a pulmonary lymphoproliferative disorder, with subsequent progression to malignant lymphoma (61). However, many of these cases were reclassified as lymphoma from the outset, and only a small number of definite LIP cases seem to actually undergo malignant transformation (62). Corticosteroids are used in the therapy of LIP, but response is unpredictable and no controlled randomized treatment trials have been reported to date (60).

**Histologic Features**
The LIP pattern is characterized by diffuse infiltration of the interstitium by lymphocytes, plasma cells, and histiocytes (Fig 24) (3). Reactive lymphoid follicles are often present and distributed along the peribronchiolar regions, which are highly inflamed. Although the predominant changes are interstitial, the airspaces display secondary changes, which range from compression by the interstitial infiltrates to proteinaceous fluid and macrophage collections (60).

**Imaging Features**
The chest radiograph in patients with LIP reveals nonspecific findings, such as bilateral reticular, reticulonodular, or alveolar opacities. High-resolution CT is the radiologic procedure of choice and shows bilateral abnormalities that are diffuse or have a lower lung predominance. The dominant high-resolution CT feature
in patients with LIP is ground-glass attenuation, which is related to the histologic evidence of diffuse interstitial inflammation (Fig 25) (63). Another frequent finding is thin-walled perivascular cysts (Fig 26) (64). In contrast to the subpleural, lower lung cystic changes in UIP, the cysts of LIP are usually within the lung parenchyma throughout the mid lung zones and presumably result from air trapping due to peribronchiolar cellular infiltration (64). In combination with ground-glass opacities, these cysts are highly suggestive of LIP. Occasionally, centrilobular nodules and septal thickening are seen (63).

**Acute Interstitial Pneumonia**

AIP is the only entity among the IIPs with acute onset of symptoms. In most cases of AIP, the clinical and imaging criteria for acute respiratory distress syndrome are fulfilled.

**Clinical Features**

Patients who present with AIP have a mean age of 50 years (3). Most patients develop severe dyspnea with a need for mechanical ventilation within less than 3 weeks (3). Typically, a history of viral-like illness exists. Men and women are equally affected, and cigarette smoking does not seem to increase the risk for development of AIP. Treatment is largely supportive and consists of...
oxygen supplementation. Corticosteroids seem to be effective in the early phase of disease (65). Nevertheless, the prognosis remains poor, with a mortality rate of 50% or more (3). Although recurrences of AIP have been described, most patients who survive the acute phase of the disease later progress to lung fibrosis (66,67).

**Histologic Features**

The histologic pattern of AIP includes diffuse alveolar damage, which can be categorized into an early exudative phase and a chronic organizing phase, depending on the timing of the biopsy in relation to the lung insult (68). The exudative phase is characterized by interstitial and intraalveolar edema, formation of hyaline membranes, and diffuse alveolar infiltration by inflammatory cells (Fig 27). The organizing phase usually begins at the end of the first week after lung injury and is characterized by formation of granulation tissue, which results in alveolar wall thickening. As opposed to the heterogeneous appearance of UIP, fibrotic changes in AIP are uniform and characterized by numerous fibroblasts but relatively little collagen deposition (69).

Histopathologic investigation is necessary for a definitive diagnosis of AIP. However, considering the fact that patients with AIP are often too ill to tolerate surgical lung biopsy, transbronchial biopsy seems to be sufficient (65).

**Imaging Features**

The radiographic and high-resolution CT features of AIP are similar to those of acute respiratory distress syndrome; however, patients with AIP are more likely to have a symmetric, bilateral distribution with a lower lobe predominance (Fig 28) (70). The costophrenic angles are often

**Figure 27.** Histologic features of the exudative phase of AIP. The alveolar septa are diffusely thickened by hyaline membranes (arrow). Fibrin deposition and inflammatory cells are present in the alveoli (arrowhead).

**Figure 28.** Distribution (a), CT image (b), and CT pattern (c) of AIP. AIP has a basal predominance (red area in a). CT shows airspace consolidation (dark gray areas in c), ground-glass opacities (light gray areas in c), and bronchial dilatation (red areas in c).
spared. In the early phase of AIP, ground-glass opacities are the dominant CT pattern and reflect the presence of alveolar septal edema and hyaline membranes (Fig 29) (69). Areas of consolidation are also present but are usually less extensive and limited to the dependent area of the lung (71). In the early phase, airspace consolidation results from intraalveolar edema and hemorrhage.

However, consolidations are also present in the fibrotic phase and then result from intraalveolar fibrosis (72). In the late phase of AIP, architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, and honeycombing are the most striking CT features and are more severe in the nondependent areas of the lung (Fig 30) (72,73). This can be explained by the “protective” effect of atelectasis and consolidation on the dependent areas of the lung during the acute phase of disease, which attenuate the potential damage associated with mechanical ventilation (73).

**Conclusions**

The ATS-ERS consensus statement of 2002 details the diagnostic approach to the IIPs. Table 4 summarizes 10 teaching points from this consensus statement that radiologists should be aware of.
when dealing with these conditions. IIPs are associated with typical morphologic patterns. The CT appearances of UIP and COP may be diagnostic in the appropriate clinical context. However, there is substantial overlap in the CT appearances of the other IIPs. Therefore, accurate diagnosis of these disorders requires a dynamic interdisciplinary approach that correlates clinical, radiologic, and pathologic features.
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The classification of IIPs is based on histologic criteria, but each histologic pattern is associated with a characteristic computed tomography (CT) pattern that, provided an adequate CT technique is used, correlates well with histologic findings (Table 2) (3).
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Therefore, UIP should be considered in patients who present with low lung volumes, subpleural reticular opacities, macrocystic honeycombing, and traction bronchiectasis, the extent of which increases from the apex to the bases of the lungs (Fig 4).
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NSIP is associated with a variety of imaging and histologic findings, and the diagnostic approach is highly challenging. However, the distinction of NSIP from UIP is more than academic, given the better response to corticosteroids seen in a subgroup of patients with NSIP (22,23).
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The CT appearances of UIP and COP may be diagnostic in the appropriate clinical context.
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Therefore, accurate diagnosis of these disorders requires a dynamic interdisciplinary approach that correlates clinical, radiologic, and pathologic features.
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